

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/657,516 09/08/2003 | | François Binette | 022956-0225 | 7793 | |
| 21125 NUTTER MC | 21125 7590 05/21/2007 NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02210-2604 | | | QIAN, CELINE X | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 1636 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/21/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | 10/657,516 | BINETTE ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Celine X. Qian Ph.D. | 1636 |

| | Celine X. Qian Ph.D. | 1636 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | · |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7) | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a briof | will not be entered by | |
| (a) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bet | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | |
| appeal; and/or | action to appear by materially re- | ducing or simplifying t | ille issues ioi |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | * ** | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | |
| 7. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ∠ will not be entered, or b) | I be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6-24</u> . | · | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appear | al and/or appellant fail | ls to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | • . |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | | condition for allowar | ice because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | • |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | • • | | |
| | • | Celine X Qian Ph.D Examiner Art Unit: 1636 | • . |
| | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment introduces new limitations to the claims which would require further consideration and search. For example, claims 49-56 depend on the withdrawn claim 25, which creates new issues to be considered under 112 2nd paragraph, and new limitations would require a new search. Further, the instant amendment does not overcome the art rejection of the record (see reasons discussed below). As such, it does not place the application in better form for appeal by reducing and simplifying the issues for appeal, and it will not be entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment does not overcome the art rejection of the record. Claim 48 is drawn to a genetically altered chondrocyte modified to express a therapeutic agent and a biocompatible substrate. The cited reference Glorioso et al. disclose a chondrocyte that encodes a polypeptide of interest including therapeutic proteins and may be delivered to damaged tissue with a gel matrix. Although this reference does not disclose the delivering of the chondrocyte to an atypical chondrocyte environment in vivo, the claimed chondrocyte does not impart a structural difference with the chondrocyte disclosed in Glorioso et al. because the specification does not teach such a structural difference which renders said chondrocyte being delivered to atypical environment different than the chondrocyte disclosed in Glorioso et al. As such, it does not overcome the rejection of the record. With regard to newly added claims 49-56, the arguments are considered moot because the instant claims are dependent on the method of claim 25, they are indefinite and would have been rejected under 112 2nd if entered.

CELINE QIAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

•